1	WO	
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7	FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA	
8		
9	Designee LLC,	No. CV-17-02794-PHX-JAT
10	Plaintiff,	ORDER
11	V.	
12	Honda Aircraft Company LLC, et al.,	
13	Defendants.	
14		
15	IT IS ORDERED that in the response and reply to the motion to dismiss, Plaintiff	
16	and Defendants shall address whether this Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this	
17	case. See Rains v. Criterion Sys., Inc., 80 F.3d 339, 346 (9th Cir. 1996) (noting that a	
18	state law tort claim alleging violations of state and federal law does not confer federal	
19	question jurisdiction).	
20	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Defendants are reminded that under General	
21	Order 17-08 (Doc. 4), filing a motion to dismiss does not extend the time to answer.	
22	Dated this 23rd day of August, 2017.	
23		
24	$\Omega M_{\rm M}$	
25		1667
26		James A. Teilborg Senior United States District Judge
ر ا <sub>م</sub>		Parriag Parriag Propriet andPa